UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			mk		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/691,778	10/23/2003	Jerry A. Pickering	10167	7165	
MARK G. BO	7590 04/02/2007 CCHETTI	EXAMINER			
	ODAK COMPANY	AFZALI, SARANG			
343 STATE STREET RODCHESTER, NY 14650			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3726		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		04/02/2007	DAE	DAPED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amendment filed 1/19/2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-88 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-63.67,68.72-79.82-85 and 88 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 64-66,69-71,80,81,86 and 87 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Sarang Afzali 3726	•	10/691,778	PICKERING ET AL.				
- The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Examenous time may be availate under the provisions of 3° CFR 1.1364, in an event, research using fresh the state of the provision and the reply that and under the provisions of 3° CFR 1.1364, in a event, research and the provision of 5° CFR 1.1364, in a event, research adANOPADE (39 8.0, \$ 1, \$ 130, \$ 1, \$ 130, \$ 1, \$ 1, \$ 1, \$ 1, \$ 1, \$ 1, \$ 1, \$	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extension of time rays be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a ray by be timely filled. - Exhauster of time rays be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a ray by be timely filled. - If No provide or trays by section door, but maximum statutory period will apply and will expire \$X, (b) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. - Failwhore to reply within the safe of extended period for rapy will, by statute, cause the application to become ARANDONED (33 U.S.C. § 133). - Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims Claim(s) 1.53 (67 0.87 2.79 0.82 -85 and 88 is/are withdrawn from consideration. - 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1.53 (67 0.87 2.79 0.82 -85 and 88 is/are withdrawn from consideration. - 5 Claim(s) 1.54 (66 69-71, 80, 81, 86 and 87 is/are rejected. - 7 Claim(s) 1.54 (66 69-71, 80, 81, 86 and 87 is/are rejected. - 7 Claim(s) 1.54 (66 69-71, 80, 81, 86 and 87 is/are rejected. - 7 Claim(s) 1.54 (66 69-71, 80, 81, 86 and 87 is/are rejected. - 8 Claim(s) 1.54 (66 69-71, 80, 81, 86 and 87 is/are rejected. - 7 Claim(s) 1.54 (66 69-71, 80, 81, 86 and 87 is/are rejected. - 8 Claim(s) 1.54 (66 69-71, 80, 81, 86 and 87 is/are rejected. - 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. - 10 The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. - Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.35(a). - 11 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. - 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f		Sarang Afzali	3726				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Engeneers of time may be available under the provision of 3° CPT 1.130(e). In the event, rower, may a reply be limby filed If NO period for reply is specified above, the maintain statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the sist of excelled period for reply will, by status, cause the septileation to become ARAMONEO, 31 U.S. C \$1.30(e). Any reply received by the office taken than these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any senere patient by application. 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amendment filed 1119/2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-63,67,68,72-79,82-85 and 88 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4-66,69-71,80,81,86 and 87 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) biceted to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been receiv		pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available used the provision of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In revent, however, may a reply be timely filed of the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failuse to recy within the set or extended period for revy will, by statule, case the application become ABANDOFID. 36 U. 36 C. § 133). Any reply received by the file set or extended period for revy will, by statule, case the application become ABANDOFID. 36 U. 36 C. § 133). Any reply received by the Differ later than the maintenant. Set of CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amendment filed 1/19/2007. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 □ Claim(s) 1-88 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-63 67.68.72-79.82-85 and 88 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 □ Claim(s) 1-86 66.97.180.81, 186 and 87 is/are rejected. 7 □ Claim(s) 3-66.69-71.80.81, 186 and 87 is/are rejected. 7 □ Claim(s) 3-66.69-71.80.81, 186 and 87 is/are rejected. 7 □ Claim(s) 4-66.69-71.80.81 and 87 is/are rejected. 7 □ Claim(s) 5-66.69-71.80.81 and 87 is/are rejected. 7 □ Claim(s) 5-66.69-71.80.81 and 87 is/are rejected. 7 □ Claim(s) 5-66.69-71.80.81 and 87 is/are rejected. 7 □ Claim(s) 6-66.69-71.80.81 and 87 is/are rejected. 7 □ Claim(s) 6-66.69-71.80.81 and 87 is/are rejected. 9 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 □ The ordinance objected to be the Examiner. 10 □ The ordinance objected to be the Examiner. 11 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	• •	VIQ SET TO EVOIDE 2 M	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amendment filed 1/19/2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-88 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-63.67.68.72-79.82-85 and 88 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 64-66.69-71.80.81.86 and 87 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI. 136(a). In no event, however, may a divil apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-88 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-63.67.68.72-79.82-85 and 88 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○ ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Prafspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ame	endment filed 1/19/2007.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-88 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-63.67.68, 72-79.82-85 and 88 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ○ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ○ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ○ Claim(s) is/are objected to to the Examiner. 10) ○ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2003 is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) ○ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ○ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ○ All b) ○ Some * c) ○ None of: 1. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ○ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ○ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ○ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ○ Notice of Informal Patent Application	<i>,</i>	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
Algorithms Algor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-63,67,68,72-79,82-85 and 88 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) ○ is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) □ is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) □ is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) □ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Pofatsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Disposition of Claims						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 64-66,69-71,80,81,86 and 87 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper Nots)/Mail Date Paper Nots)/Mail Date 9) Notice of Informal Patent Application	4) (Claim(s) <u>1-88</u> is/are pending in the application.						
6) Claim(s) 64-66.69-71.80.81.86 and 87 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-63,67,68,72-79,82-85 and 88</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7	•						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	,	or election requirement					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ . 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	,						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application	10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 October 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	The dath of declaration is objected to by the E		d Office Action of form P 10-132.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Attachment(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * Just of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	- ·						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		4) 🗖 Intentions	Summany (PTO 412)				
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
		·					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The applicant's amendment filed on 1/19/2007 has been fully considered and made of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 64-71 are rejected 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eddy et al. (US 5,729,813).

Eddy et al. teach a fuser member comprising a base **6**; and a fusing surface layer **2**; comprising: a fluoroelastomer (col. 18, line 6); and filler particles (col. 18, line 6), with a modulus greater than the modulus of the fluoroelastomer (Modulus is a measure of stiffness of a given material. The filler is made of alumina, which has a modulus greater than a fluoroelastomer which is not as stiff. In addition, the filler imparts hardness to the layer as described in col. 7, lines 52-56, therefore, the filler is harder or stiffer than the fluoroelastomer.) at the fusing temperature, and with a mean particle diameter of at least about 8 microns (col. 7, line 60), in at least the minimum proportion by volume of the fusing surface layer, and with at least the minimum mean particle diameter.

Regarding the limitations "so that, in fusing toner to substrate, the fuser member generates an image having a gloss number of about 5 or less" and "in fusing toner to substrate, the fuser member, at the equilibrium surface roughness, generates an image having a gloss number of about 5 or less", a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. The filler particles are heat conducting and have a greater thermal conductivity than the fluoroelastomer because the filler is made of alumina. In addition, alumina is considered inorganic.

Eddy et al. teach the invention cited above with the exception of having a mean particle diameter of at least 50 microns as in recited claims 64-66 or a mean particle diameter greater than about 55 microns as recited in claim 70.

At the time of the invention, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art, to have used a mean particle diameter of at least about 50 microns as recited in claims 64-66 or a mean particle diameter greater than about 55 microns as recited in claim 70 because applicant has not disclosed that a mean particle diameter from about 50 as recited in claims 64-66 or a mean particle diameter greater than about 55 microns as recited in claim 70 provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem.

One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected applicant's invention to perform equally well with either the mean particle diameter taught by Eddy

Application/Control Number: 10/691,778

Art Unit: 3726

et al. or the claimed mean particle diameter because either particle diameter perform the same function of providing conductivity equally well.

In addition, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify the particle size depending upon the desired conductivity needed for the roll.

4. Claims 80, 81, 85, and 86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eddy et al. in view of Donnelley et al. (US 3,669,707).

Eddy et al. teach the invention cited above with the exception of using plastic filler particles such as polytetrafluoroethylene. Donnelley et al. teach using plastic filler particles such as polytetrafluoroethylene (col. 4, line 66). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided the invention of Eddy et al. with plastic filler particles such as polytetrafluoroethylene, in light of the teachings of Donnelley et al., in order to reduce offset and mechanical breakdown as suggested by Donnelley et al.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 1/19/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's amendment to claims 69, 70, 86, and 87 to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph for indefiniteness is accepted and therefore, the

Application/Control Number: 10/691,778

Art Unit: 3726

rejection of claims 69, 70, 86, and 87 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph is withdrawn.

Under "Remarks", pages 1-2, with respect to Eddy et al., applicant argues that since Eddy et al. limits the size of the filler particles to 15 microns (col. 7, line 60) which is much less than 50 microns, Eddy does not show every element of Applicant's claims and that Donnelley does not cure the deficiencies of Eddy and therefore, both rejections under 102 (b) and 103(a) should be withdrawn.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the above arguments. Note that applicant originally claimed (claim 64, filed 7/24/2006) a "mean particle diameter of at least about 8 microns" for the filler particles, which indicates that Applicant's invention would have performed equally well with that particle size. However, Applicant is now introducing a different particle size (50 microns) only to overcome Eddy and not because any other particle sizes (i.e. 8 or 15 or 20 microns) are not suitable for adequate performance.

Therefore, the Examiner considers that it is within the skill level of one of ordinary skill in the art to have used any suitable particle size depending upon the desired conductivity needed for the roll.

As for Donnelley et al., this reference is only relied upon to teach plastic filler particles, which Eddy was lacking.

Art Unit: 3726

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarang Afzali whose telephone number is 571-272-8412. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-3:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on 571-272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,778

Art Unit: 3726

Page 7

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SA SA 3/26/2007

> DAVID P. BRYANT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

> > 3/26/07